A NOVEL APPROACH TO BREYNOLIDE

Stephen F. Martin* and Dilon Daniel

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Texas, Austin, Texas, 787 12

Abstract. The viability of the key steps in our approach to the novel sesquiterpene breynolide **(1) has hem verified** by preparation of the hydrobenzothiophene 16. The sequence features a Diels-Alder reaction of 10 with 7 to load eventually to **13;** subsequent dipolar cycloaddition of 13 with a functionalized nitrile oxide gave 16.

Breynolide **(1) is the** aglycone of the hypocholesterolemic agent breynin A, which was isolated from the Taiwanese plant *Breynia oflcinalis hems 1. l-3 The* structure of **1 was originally** established by X-ray crystallography.4 The novelty of the structure of **1** coupled with its biological importance have stimulated several synthetic investigations,⁵⁻⁷ and the total synthesis of 1 has been independently reported by Williams⁶ and Smith.⁷ More recently the structures of breynins A and B have been established. 8

Our own interest in breynolide evolved from our synthesis of the structurally related sesquiterpene phyllanthocin, and the strategy that evolved for our approach to **1** (Scheme 1) was derived from that effort.9 We reasoned that breynolide **(1)** should be accessible by refunctionalization of 2, which, in close analogy with our previous work,^{9,10} should be accessible by reduction of the oxazoline in 3 followed by acid-catalyzed transketalization of the intermediate hydroxy ketone. The most convergent approach to 3 was envisaged to involve dipolar cycloaddition of the nitrile oxide 4 with the unsaturated bicyclic ketone $5¹¹$ which would be produced by the Diels-Alder reaction of the dienophile 6 with the Danishefsky diene $7¹²$ We now wish to describe initial experiments in this area that verify the underlying viability of this approach to **1.**

The first problem that had to be addressed in the undertaking was the construction of a suitable derivative of a hydrobenzothiophene of the general type 5. Although several possibilities may be envisioned, the one outlined in Scheme 2 emerged as one workable sequence. The allylic alcohol 9 was readily prepared by conversion of the inexpensive sulfolene 8 into the corresponding chlorohydrin, which is also commercially available, followed by dehydrochlorination.¹³ The secondary hydroxyl group was protected as its benzyl or uiisopropylsilyl ether, **10a** or **lob.** respectively. The dienophile 1Oa was heated in a sealed tube with the diene 7 at 170-180 °C for 48 h, and the intermediate crude cycloadduct was then heated in benzene containing pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate (PPTS); this treatment resulted in desilylation with concomitant loss of methanol to give a mixture $(1:1.2)$ of the cycloadducts 11a and 12a in 82% yield. Thinking that the cycloaddition might proceed with a higher level of diastereofacial selectivity, we also subjected the dienophile 10b to the same sequence of reactions. Although this process was indeed more stereoselective, the improvement was not dramatic, and a mixture (1:2.5) of **llb** and **12b was** obtained. The regiochemical outcome of these cycloadditions could be readily ascertained upon analysis of their 1H NMR spectra including COSY experiments, but it was not possible to unequivocally establish the facial selectivity by NMR owing to the complexity of the multiplets arising from the bridgehead protons. To resolve this issue, the structures of llb14 and **12a15 were secured** by X-ray analyses. The sulfone moiety of 12a was reduced by treatment with DIBAL-H $(60\%$, unoptimized), ¹⁶ and the mixture $(6:1)$ of intermediate allylic alcohols was oxidized by a Swern oxidation¹⁷ to give the dipolarophile 13 in 43% overall yield. The silyl protecting group on 12b was not stable to the conditions required to reduce the sulfone, so even though the diastereoselectivity of the Diels-Alder reaction of 10b was better than for 10a. only the benzyl protected adduct was suited for further elaboration.

(a) HOAc, H_2SO_4 , H_2O , tert-BuOCI, rt. 24 h. (b) NH₃ (liq). (c) BnBr, NaH, n -Bu₄NI, THF, rt. 2 h or TIPS-Cl. Im-H, DMF, rt, 24 h. (d) 7, mesltylene, reflux, 48 h; PPTS, benzene, reflux, 24 h. (e) DIBAL-H, CH₂CI₂, reflux, 24 h. (f) (COCI)₂, DMSO, CH₂CL, Et₂N, -78 °C, 1 h.

With the hydrobenzothiophene 13 in hand, the stage was set for the dipolar cycloaddition. Although use of the nitrile oxide 4 will offer a mom convergent entry to intermediates that could be elaborated into 1, we elected to examine first the regio- and stereoselectivity of the process in a simple model. Toward this end, the oxime 14 was converted into the hydroxamoyl chloride 15 by sequential ketalixation and chlorination. The nitrile oxide derived from 15 was generated in situ in the presence of the enone 13 to furnish the cycloadduct 16 as the only characterizable product, albeit in modest yield. The regio- and stereochemical outcome of this cycloaddition were assigned based upon careful examination of the 1 H NMR of 16.¹⁸ Central to this conclusion was the appearance of a long range W-type coupling between H_b and H_e ($J = 1.9$ Hz) indicating that both protons are probably equatorial on the six-membered ring, which appears therefore to reside in a distorted chair conformation. Based upon this assumption and examination of molecular models, the observed coupling constant between H_a and H_c of 3.4 Hz suggests a trans relationship between H_a and H_c with a dihedral angle of about 110° rather than a cis relationship wherein the dihedral angle would be approximately 0-10°. The regiochemistry was confirmed by an X-ray analysis of the partially aromatized compound 17, which was serendipitously obtained upon slow aerial oxidation of 16 that occmred upon prolonged standing.

Scheme 3

(a) TMS-CI, 2,2-dimethylpropane-1,3-diol, CH₂CL₂, rt, 36 h. (b) NCS, DMF, rt, 1.5 h. (c) 13, Et₂O, Et₃N, rt, 56 h.

The successful preparation of 16 in this model study establishes the merit of our synthetic approach to breynolide (1) as outlined in Scheme 1. Work to extend these discoveries to the total synthesis of 1 are in progress, and the results of these investigations will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment. We wish to thank the National Institutes of Health and the Robert A. Welch Foundation for support of this research.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. Koshima, H.; Hatori, M.; Ohkuma, I-I.; Sakai, F.; Imanishi, H.; Ohhayashi, M.; Karvaguchi, H. *Chem. Pharm Bull. 1976,24,* 169.
- 2. Sakai, F.; Ohkuma, H.; Koshiyama, H.; Naito, T.; Kawaguchi, H. *Chem Pharm. Bulk 1976.24,* 114.
- 3. Trost, W. *IRCS* Med *Sci.* 1986.14, 905.
- 4. (a) Sasaki, K.; Hiram, Y. *Tetrahedron Letf.* 1973.27, 2439. (b) Sasaki, K.; Him&Y. *Acta Cryst.* 1974, B30, 1347.
- 5. Nishiyama, S.; Ikeda, Y.; Yoshida, S.; Yamamura, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1989, 30, 105.
- 6. Williams, D. R.; Jass, P. A.; Allan Tse, H-L.; Gaston, R. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4552.
- 7. (a) Smith, A. B.. III; Empfleld, J. R.; Rivero, R. A.; Vaccaro, H. A. J. *Am Chem Sac.* 1991,123, 4037. (b) Smith, A. B., IQ Empfield, J. R.; Rivero. R. A.; Vaccaro, H. A.; Duan, J. J.-W.; Sulikowski, M. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 9419.
- 8. (a) Ohkuma, H.; Tsuno, T.; Konishi. M.; Naito, T.; Kawaguchi, H. *Chem Pharm BulL* 1991.39.942. (b) Smith, A. B., III; Keenan, T. P.; Gallagher, R. T.; Furst, G. T.; Dormer, P. G. J. Org. *Chem* 1992.57, 5115.
- 9. Martin, S. F.; Dappen. M. S.; Duped, B.; Murphy, C. J.; Colapret, J. A. J. *Org. Chem.* 1989,54.2209.
- 10. Martin, S. F.; Dupr6, B. *Tetrahedron Lett. 1983.24. 1337.*
- 11. (a) Gfiinanger, P.; Gandolfi. R.; De Micheli. C.; Bianchi. G.; Finzi. P. V.; Vajna de Pava. 0. J. C. S. *Perkin I 1973.* 1148. (b) Muckensturm. B.; Riss. B.P. *Tetrahedron Lett. 1986.27.4979.*
- 12. Danishefsky, S.; Kitahara, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 7807.
- 13. Procházka, M.; Horák, V. *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* 1959, 24, 1509.
- 14. Lynch, V. M.; Daniel, D.; Martin, S. F.; Davis, B. E. *Acta Cry&.* 1990. C46.708.
- 15. Lynch. V. M.; Daniel, D.; Martin, S. F.; Davis, B. E. Acta *Cryst.* 1991. C47. 1340.
- 16. (a) Gardner, J. N.; Kaiser, S.; Krubiner, A.; Lucas, H. Can. J. Chem. 1973, 51, 1419. (b) Kemp, D. S.; Buckler, D. R. *J. Org. Chem* 1989.54, 3647.
- 17. (a) Swem, D.; Huang, S-L.; Mancuso, A. J. J. *Org. Chem.* 1978.43, 2480. (b) For a review, see: Tidwell, T. T. *Synthesis.* 1990, 857.
- 18. Partial ¹H NMR spectra 16: δ 5.26 (dd, $J = 3.4$, 10.0 Hz, H_a), 4.18 (dd, $J = 3.4$, 5.6 Hz, H_c), 4.08 $(\text{dd}, J = 1.9, 10.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{H}_b)$, 2.73 $(\text{dd}, J = 11.1, 15.1 \text{ Hz}, \text{H}_f)$, 1.76 $(\text{dd}, J = 1.9, 2.8, 15.1, \text{He})$.

(Received in USA 10 March 1993; accepted 11 May 1993)